

# GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

'Kamat Towers', Seventh Floor, Patto, Panaji – Goa

---

**Appeal No. 126/2016**

Shri Jawaharlal T. Shetye,  
H.No. 35/A Ward No. 11,  
Khorlim Mapusa Goa.

..... Appellant

**V/s.**

1. Public Information Officer,  
Asst. Director of Social Welfare  
Panaji Goa

2. First Appellate Authority,  
Director of Social Welfare,  
Social Welfare Department,  
Panaji Goa.

..... Respondents

**CORAM:**

**Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar**, State Information Commissioner

**Filed on: 04/07/2016**

**Decided on:07/04/2017**

## **ORDER**

1. The appellant Shri Jawaharlal Shetye by his application dated 11/02/2016 filed u/s 6(1) RTI Act sought certain information from PIO office of Director of Social welfare, panajim Goa on 9 points as stated therein in the said application.
2. The same was responded by the Respondent No. 1 PIO on 22/03/2016 thereby providing him the part of the information with regards to point No. 1 2,3,4,7 & 8.
3. As no complete information came to be furnished to him by the PIO he filed first appeal before the Director of Social welfare Panajim on 1/04/2016 and since no any decision was taken by the First appellate authority within stipulated time as contented under the RTI Act, the appellant approached this commission by way of second appeal on 4/07/2016 with a prayer for furnishing him

..2/-

..2..

correct and point wise information, for conducting inquire into the behavior of both the Respondent and for invoking penal provisions.

4. In pursuant to the notice of this commission the appellant appeared in person Respondent No. 1 represented by Devu Gaonkar filed his reply on 10/02/2017 thereby enclosing the copies of the information. Respondent No. 2 First appellate authority filed reply on 3/04/2017. The copy of the both the replies was furnished to the appellant .
5. The appellant on verification of said reply submitted that he is satisfied with the information provided to point no. 1 to 3. He further submitted that he was not pressing for the information at point no. 5 & 6 as the same is available with another authority. He further submitted that he requires the information at point No. 4, 7,8 and 9 Accordingly the present PIO agreed to provide him said information on the subsequent date of hearing .
6. The information at point No. 4,7,8,and 9 was provided by the Respondent No.1 PIO on 7/04/2017 vide his letter dated 6/04/2017. On verification of the said information the appellant submitted that he is satisfied with the information furnished to him and as same as same is furnished to him as per his requirement, he is not insisting for any penal provision against the Respondent PIO.
7. In view of the submission made by the appellant and the endorsement made by him on memo appeal, nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal.
8. The appeal disposed accordingly. proceedings stands closed/

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

..3/-

..3..

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-  
**(Pratima K. Vernekar)**  
State Information Commissioner  
Goa State Information Commission,  
Panaji-Goa